

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,293	10/14/2003	Robert A. Caradimos	2835/101	9816
2101 7	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			MAI, TRI M	
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
booton, m			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1
•	_*_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office As Company	10/685,293	CARADIMOS, RO	DBERT A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tri M. Mai	3727	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
•	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			e ments is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and is	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the temperature.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/28/04. 		nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,293

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The photographs are unacceptable. Applicant must submit drawings in place of the photographs.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the various shapes must be shown of the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,293

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

3. Claims 1-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it seems that standoff is not formed from a single piece of material as the support and hook portion. Furthermore, this recitation is confusing. It seems that the standoff is made from a separate piece and welded on the support.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 13-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swartz (1247033). Swartz teaches a support having a support portion with a lower portion and an upper region, back, and front, a lip 11, a hook 4, and a standoff member 9
- 5. Claim 3, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz in view of Fiscus (2487536). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the a terminus that curves away from the support portion in Swartz as taught by Fiscus to provide the desired shape for the hook support.

Regarding claim 15, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the support in the claimed dimension to provide the desired size for the support.

6. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz in view of Rogers (651058). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide clip with retainers on opposite sides and a empty central region in Swartz as taught by Rogers to save material and to access the contents easily.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,293

Art Unit: 3727

7. Claims 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swart. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the dimension as claimed to provide the desired support for the container.

Regarding claim 8, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the support with the cross piece to provide the appropriate design for the support portion.

8. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swart in view of Goodnow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a support having inverted shapes of V or A in Swart as taught by Goodnow to provide an alternative support.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the support having inverted shape as an inverted "U" to provide an alternative shape of the stand.

9. Claim 1-5, 7-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sullivan (5542314). Sullivan teaches a support portion, a lip at 58, 60, hooks 54, and standoff member 104,

Regarding claims 13 it is regarded as an intended use to provide the standoff to be positioned in the horizontal surface in Sullivan. The intended use limitations do not impart any structure over the structures of the support in Sullivan.

10. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz in view of Sullivan. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the support of Swartz for supporting a notebook computer to enable to have access to a computer while driving and/or working inside a vehicle.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,293 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).